APPLICATION NO: 15/00202/FUL		OFFICER: Miss Michelle Payne
DATE REGISTERED: 4th February 2015		DATE OF EXPIRY: 1st April 2015
WARD: Pittville		PARISH: NONE
APPLICANT:	William Morrison Estates	
LOCATION:	3 Cleevelands Drive, Cheltenham	
PROPOSAL:	Demolition of existing dwelling and construction of single block containing 9 apartments, alteration to site access and associated hard and soft landscaping	

## ADDITIONAL REPRESENTATIONS

4 Cleevelands Close Cheltenham Gloucestershire GL50 4PZ

## Comments: 8th July 2015

This application is totally inappropriate for the surrounding area, not only does it not fit in around all the detached houses from many different periods, but it also is totally unacceptable to be putting another development without sufficient parking.

It will pull in even more traffic onto a small side road which already has a parking problem and this will only be made worse when people park on Cleevelands drive when the allocated parking in the development is full - which it will be.

Not only will this be a problem on the drive but also making it extremely dangerous to enter onto Evesham road and vice versa. This will put the current residents at risk and is not something that should be allowed to happen.

It will be a total shame to destroy another house full of character and features and replace it with another boring block of flats.

If these developers really want to do the area justice and must take down a lovely detached house then at least replace it with more of the same, may be three detached houses on that plot would be acceptable and they can still make their quick buck whilst keeping the disruption to a minimum for everyone else.

Stop packing people in like sardines and keep some areas how they should be so growing family's can aspire to live there.

40 Cleevelands Drive Cheltenham Gloucestershire GL50 4QB

## Comments: 9th July 2015

Lo and behold, we ended up being here for a third time. 40 Cleevelands Drive agrees with the rest of the community regarding this issue and stands by all of the previous objections that have been made.

My opinion has not changed as of 09/07/2015 and the sheer hubris of the property developer to take this case to the Secretary of State knowing that the entire Cleevelands area disagrees with development plans is unbelievable. Do not ruin this area!

I have also copied my comments from the preliminary case (14/01730/FUL) regarding this issue.

I wholeheartedly object to the construction of these flats. And I completely agree with the rest of the community's comments regarding the conservation legalities and the over crowding that it will bring to a quiet and friendly neighbourhood.

Will this set a precedent for more voracious property developers to build more flats to ruin this beautiful area? The entire Cleevelands estate is already heavily congested with cars parked all over the roads. The community strongly opposed the construction of the Chestnuts and yet here we are in 2014 with these rather obnoxious looking flats that are completely out of keeping with the rest of the area and serve no other purpose other than to create revenue.

To build these flats will create havoc and also put a huge strain on our postal services, refuse/recycling services and most importantly our emergency services.

Why ruin an already over developed area that is at maximum capacity? To even propose these plans is an utter disgrace and quite frankly an insult to the hardworking tax payers of this peaceful neighbourhood.

For once, would Cheltenham Borough Council be benevolent enough to actually listen to the objections of those paying their wages?

I objected to the first proposal of the construction of the fourteen flats, I object to this "revised" proposal of nine flats and I will keep objecting to any future proposals of similar development plans. I gave my reasons as to why I object in the initial development plan, my opinion remains unchanged.

As I stated in my previous objection, these plans would affect the area in a very negative way.

- --Increased traffic\*\*
- --Increased pollution
- --Overcrowding
- --Increased strain on refuse/recycling collection services
- --Increased strain on postal/courier services
- --Increased strain on emergency services
- --Further drainage problems
- -- Damage to the aesthetics of the area
- \*\*To expand on the first point regarding the increase in traffic.
- --The numerous blind corners in this area are dangerous enough as it is. With an increased population of cars on our roads this could potentially mean an increase in very serious accidents.

As many other residents have stated very clearly, there are many people that walk/cycle in and out of this area everyday. These same people will be at further risk due to increased traffic.

For the reasons stated above 40 Cleevelands Drive vehemently objects to these new proposals.